Downtown Rail Extension Governance Study Recommendations

> Citizens Advisory Committee September 6, 2022





MOU and Governance Study Mandate

San Francisco Peninsula Rail Program Memorandum of Understanding:


- Fully executed in June 2020
- Established multi-agency governance structure for project development / procurement readiness
- Term is 36 months, or until DTX is "procurement ready" (whichever is earlier)
- Parties may amend, conclude, or extend by mutual agreement

MOU Task 18 (Co-Lead Agencies: MTC, SFCTA)

Explore the best institutional arrangement and governance for the delivery of the DTX Rail Program through construction and make recommendations to TJPA Board:

- a) Identify governance structure for delivery, to enable stakeholder **alignment**, effective megaproject **delivery**, **oversight**, independent strategic **advice**, and **assurance**.
- b) Recommend governance structure for delivery
- c) Develop **management structure**, including roles, reporting, and communications
- d) Consider **responsibilities** for O&M and rail portion of Salesforce Transit Center
- e) Develop **stage gates** and performance protocols







DTX Governance Goals and Objectives

Governance Goals (the desired outcomes)

Deliver DTX on
time.Realize planned benefits; avoid, minimize,
and mitigate anticipated impacts.Deliver DTX
within budget.Strengthen the region's ability to effectively
deliver transit mega-projects.

Governance Objectives (how to achieve these goals)

Clarity of Purpose – Establish and maintain a clear focus on delivering the project.	Capacity & Capabilities – Deliver the project with expert resources with the required skills and capacity.
Representation & Voice – Provide project partners with voice and say, consistent with their project interests and risk ownership.	Accountability & Authority – Provide decision-making authority in alignment with delegated accountabilities for project outcomes.
Responsiveness & Oversight – Enable timely decision-making, and ensure proper direction and oversight of the project delivery team.	Transparency – Give the public, stakeholders, and partners visibility into the project's progress and opportunities for meaningful engagement.



DTX Governance Context & Essential Elements

Strategic Context for DTX Governance

Essential Elements for DTX Governance

Focus of Framework & Recommendations

DTX is a large, **high-risk mega-project** being pursued in a complex **multi-agency environment**, with existing **MOU set to sunset** in 2023.

Governance approach for delivery of DTX should:


- Support the Board in policy-level decision-making
- Enable efficient and informed management-level decision-making
- Ensure project control, review, and oversight
- Facilitate the active participation of partners to align steering of the project team and proactively address challenges and risks

This deck presents foundational **policy-level recommendations**, with a focus on those related to the broad organization & coordination of partners and best-practice functions for mega-project governance, with continued/**further work to define and codify** structure & requirements.



Mega-Project Governance Functions


DTX Governance Model should provide for a set of inter-related functions, across 3 broad categories:





Organization of Recommendations

This presentation provides policy-level recommendations with respect to:





Overall Institutional Arrangement

Recommendation #1

Confirm TJPA as lead agency for DTX procurement and construction, and continue to build the capacity of TJPA and partner agencies for project delivery.


- TJPA has existing legal authority for project delivery, and has the ability to continue to develop capacity to lead delivery of DTX within planned timeline.
- Study's assessment of institutional options (presented to ESC in May 2022) did not find viable alternatives for lead agency role.
- Project delivery will require the coordinated action and decision-making of multiple partner agencies, including the operators and San Francisco.
- Peninsula Rail MOU has set foundation for the coordinated engagement and active involvement of partners in support of successful delivery of DTX; successor to MOU should be prepared, with appropriate delivery-phase modifications and approach to periodically review/update.



Primary Recommendations

The Study's Primary Recommendations focus on DTX's scale and unique context, including consideration of the engagement and involvement of project partners:

- 2. Develop a collaborative, **integrated management approach** and core management team, in order to support TJPA, align direction to the multi-agency delivery team, and actively manage risks and challenges.
- 3. Provide a transparent venue for the development and review of **policylevel recommendations and reporting** to the TJPA Board.
- 4. Utilize a **stage-gate process** to align decision-making at major milestones and ensure readiness for successive phases of work, and provide for periodic **independent/expert review** and advice.
- 5. Define/codify governance and management structure through bi-lateral agreements between agencies, a **successor to the existing Peninsula Rail Program MOU**, and detailed program management plans.





Integrated Management Approach

Recommendation #2

Develop a collaborative, integrated management approach and core management team, in order to support TJPA, align direction to the multi-agency delivery team, and actively manage risks and challenges.

- Active participation of key partners improves ability of multi-agency team to help manage project challenges and risks, as compared to arms-length "oversight"-only approaches.
- Project Director to convene and lead core Integrated Management Team (IMT), with embedded representation from sub-set of key DTX partner agencies.
- Project Director retains direct reporting relationship to TJPA Executive Director, with delegated authorities and decision process requirements to be developed and agreed.






Support to TJPA Board

Recommendation #3

Provide a transparent venue for the development and review of policy-level recommendations and reporting to the TJPA Board.

- Under current MOU, the ESC provides a dedicated and transparent venue for the consideration of policy matters advancing to the TJPA Board.
- Selected collaborative procurement methods (PDB, CMGC) will require continued policy-level decision-making through pre-procurement, procurement, and pre-construction phases.
- Pace and intensity of policy-related decisions will generally decrease as project advances into construction.
- Recommendation could be implemented through continuation of ESC, with updated work program and modified cadence.
- Alternatives include TJPA Board sub-committee approach.





Stage Gates and Independent Review


Recommendation #4

Utilize a stage-gate process to align decision-making at major milestones and ensure readiness for successive phases of work, and provide for periodic independent/expert review and advice.

- At each Stage Gate a review of the status of the project should be performed, completeness of all required stage activities should be confirmed, and readiness to advance should be determined.
- TJPA Board would authorize advancement into successive project phases.
- Third-party/expert reviews should be conducted periodically to provide independent advice to management and policy-makers.



Preliminary draft stage gate framework, presented to Board in June 2022






Supporting Recommendations


The Study's Supporting Recommendations enable the foundational/primary recommendations and reflect key best practices for effective mega-project delivery:

- 6. Empower project leadership staff through **delegated authorities**, in conjunction with integrated management approach and structured review/oversight processes.
- 7. Institute process/structure for management and **oversight of configuration and change**, including contractual changes.
- 8. Embed **risk management and risk review** at all levels, including policy oversight, technical management, and project execution.
- 9. Prepare **"single-source" project reporting** to provide timely and reliable information to management, partners, and decision-makers.
- 10. Develop an **integrated project delivery team**, including TJPA staff, consultants, and key partner agency resources/personnel, and pursue **project partnering** to strengthen collaboration.





Recommended Framework for Project Delivery





Next Steps

Governance Study recommendations to guide further work, to be brought forward subsequently for review or action by relevant parties:

Governance Blueprint – Follow-up Governance Study deliverable, to provide more detailed definition of delivery-phase governance. Key components:

- Delineation of anticipated policy decisions/decision types by project phase focus on pre-procurement, procurement, and
 pre-construction, and on decisions with multi-party scale/impact
- Governance structure organizational design and composition/reporting of bodies, including IMT membership and mandate
- Framework for delegated authorities; configuration/change management procedures and structure
- Further definition of **stage milestones**, inputs, and non-TJPA agency decision requirements; process for periodic **independent review** and expert advice
- Coordination of related tasks/agreements and alignment with project delivery implementation roadmap

Prepare Draft: through Dec 2022

Revise as Needed: Q1-2023

Funding Actions and Oversight Conditions

Agency-level funding decisions and agreements, subject to oversight procedures/protocols

Timing varies

Program Management Plans

Detailed package of plans to document approach to managing delivery, developed as required by FTA

For Feb 2023 & Aug 2023 FTA Submittals

Bi-lateral Agreements

Agreements between TJPA and agencies, including Caltrain (MCA) and CCSF (ICA), to codify agency resourcing, rights, and responsibilities

Key terms by early 2023; executed prior to Aug 2023

Successor to Current MOU

Framework/agreement to succeed existing Peninsula Rail Program MOU

By mid-2023



Recommendation

Approve the Governance Study recommendations for the Downtown Rail Extension, as recommended by the Executive Steering Committee:

- 1. Confirm TJPA as lead agency for DTX procurement and construction, and continue to build the capacity of TJPA and partner agencies for project delivery.
- 2. Develop a collaborative, integrated management approach and core management team, in order to support TJPA, align direction to the multi-agency delivery team, and actively manage risks and challenges.
- 3. Provide a transparent venue for the development and review policy-level recommendations and reporting to the TJPA Board.
- 4. Utilize a stage-gate process to align decision-making at major milestones and ensure readiness for successive phases of work and provide for periodic independent/expert review and advice.
- 5. Define/codify governance and management structure through bi-lateral agreements between agencies, a successor to the existing Peninsula Rail Program MOU, and detailed program management plans.



Recommendation (continued)

- 6. Empower project leadership staff through delegated authorities, in conjunction with integrated management approach and structured review/oversight processes.
- 7. Institute process/structure for management and oversight of configuration and change, including contractual changes.
- 8. Embed risk management and risk review at all levels, including policy oversight, technical management, and project execution.
- 9. Prepare "single-source" project reporting to provide timely and reliable information to management, partners, and decision-makers.
- 10. Develop an integrated project delivery team, including TJPA staff, consultants, and key partner agency resources/personnel, and pursue project partnering to strengthen collaboration.



Questions?



