# **STAFF REPORT FOR CALENDAR ITEM NO.:** 9 **FOR THE MEETING OF:** September 11, 2014

# TRANSBAY JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY

# **BRIEF DESCRIPTION:**

Amending Contract No. 08-04-CMGC-000, authorizing Webcor/Obayashi Joint Venture (the CM/GC) to award a Trade Work Subcontract to Desert Mechanical, Inc. (Desert Mechanical) as the responsible bidder submitting the lowest responsive bid in the amount of \$15,782,188 for TG10.2: Plumbing (TG10.2), thereby increasing authorized Direct Costs by \$15,782,188, and increasing the authorized Construction Services Fixed Fee by \$1,199,446, under and in accordance with Contract No. 08-04-CMGC-000, Section 00 05 20, ¶5.01B.

#### **EXPLANATION:**

On October 17, 2013, the CM/GC issued a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) for TG10.2. On November 15, 2013, seven firms responded to the RFQ. On November 26, 2013, a Selection Committee consisting of representatives from the TJPA, CM/GC, Construction Management Oversight (CMO) consultant, and Program Management/Program Controls (PMPC) consultant reviewed and scored each firm's qualifications for technical merit. Based on its evaluation, the Selection Committee determined the following bidders to be qualified and free of conflicts of interest:

- ACCO Engineered Systems, Inc.
- Airco Mechanical, Inc.
- Desert Mechanical, Inc.
- F.W. Spencer & Sons, Inc.
- Lyles Mechanical Co.
- Pan-Pacific Mechanical

On January 30, 2014, the TG10.2 package was issued for bid. A pre-bid conference was held on February 13, 2014. To provide further outreach to bidders, a second pre-bid question and answer session was held on July 23, 2014. Six addenda were issued during the bid period to provide clarifications, respond to bidders' questions, and provide updated specifications, drawings and sketches. While only a few of these addenda were specific to this trade package alone, Addenda #2 through #6 were issued to all packages currently in the bidding phase for the purpose of providing the 100% issued for construction (IFC) set of drawings, related architect's supplemental instructions (ASIs), and value engineering (VE) items to all bidders. The addenda are described below:

• Addendum #1 added the landscape and site utility drawings and specifications to all bidding trade packages for general reference and extended the bid date to May 21, 2014, to allow bidders time to review the added drawings and specifications.

- Addendum #2 added the 100% IFC set of drawings and specifications to all bidding trade packages for general reference and extended the bid date to June 17, 2014, to allow bidders time to review the IFC set.
- Addendum #3 included administrative changes, including a reduction to the SBE participation goal from 20% to 12% to more accurately reflect the current availability of SBE firms for this type of work based on the current construction market conditions. The overall CM/GC contract SBE goal remains at 17%, and even with the reduction for this trade package, the overall project goal is expected to be achieved. Addendum #3 also extended the bid date to August 20, 2014, at the bidders' request, to allow time to assimilate the changes issued in Addendum #2.
- Addendum #4 incorporated three ASIs to the IFC set as follows: ASI 117 (general coordination of bidding documents to respond to bidders questions), ASI 118 (incorporation of secondary mitigation value engineering items), and ASI 119 (incorporation of secondary mitigation value engineering items). Addendum #4 also modified the bid form to incorporate the Optional Deductive Credit (described below) for the award of both TG10.2 and TG10.3 (HVAC) should the same bidder submit the lowest responsive bid for both packages.
- Addendum #5 incorporated three ASIs to the IFC set as follows: ASI 120 (incorporation of changes to glazing packages and responses to bidders' questions), ASI 121 (moving the physical security information monitoring system from one bid package to another), and ASI 122 (incorporation of secondary mitigation value engineering items).
- Addendum #6 was an administrative addendum to officially incorporate into the Contract Documents responses to bidders' questions during bidding.

The scope of work under this package includes constructing the complete plumbing system for the Transbay Transit Center. The major items of work for this package consist of the general plumbing, including pumps, tanks, meters, gauges, piping, vibration isolation, and insulation, domestic and recycled water systems, drainage systems, greywater systems, a natural gas system, and fuel oil systems.

The scope of work includes all labor, materials, equipment, tools, transportation, disposal fees, incidentals and any other costs/fees necessary to complete work in accordance with the Contract Documents. All necessary work from mobilization to handover to the next Trade Subcontractor is included in the scope of work.

Bidders had the option of submitting VE proposals prior to the bid date. On August 4, 2014, six VE proposals were received. On August 8, 2014, the VE evaluation team, consisting of representatives from the TJPA, CM/GC, CMO, PMPC, and the design team, evaluated the VE proposals. The following two VE proposals were accepted:

VE Proposal #1A: Pro Press System using premade fittings to join piping rather than soldering:

- Proposed Savings: \$35,000.
- Reason for Acceptance: Though product is more expensive to purchase, the added costs are offset by the reduction in labor costs to install.

VE Proposal #1C: Delete cold water and drain line insulation:

- Proposed Savings: \$292,000.
- Reason for Acceptance: Insulation on cold water piping and drain lines are not required in this climate zone.

The following four VE proposals were not accepted:

VE Proposal No. 1B: PEX (plastic) piping in lieu of copper piping

- Proposed Savings: \$115,000.
- Reason for Rejection: The proposed product is of lower quality than the specified product and is typically not used in commercial buildings.

VE Proposal No. 1D: Type M copper piping in lieu of Type L copper piping:

- Proposed Savings: \$76,500.
- Reason for Rejection: The proposed product is of lower quality than the specified product.

VE Proposal No. 2A: Deletion of bathrooms in Muni bus plaza booths:

- Proposed Savings: \$95,000.
- Reason for Rejection: This is not a legitimate VE proposal for this package. The bathrooms are not included in this package as they will be procured in a future trade package.

VE Proposal No. 2B: Deletion of bathrooms at Roof Park:

- Proposed Savings: \$370,500.
- Reason for Rejection: This is not a legitimate VE proposal. The bathrooms are not included in this package as they will be procured in a future trade package.

To ensure the best value for the bid scope, TJPA included in the package three deductive alternates that are part of the total bid, and thus were factors in determining the lowest responsible bid. These are described below:

Deductive Alternate No. 4: Delete Beale Street Lobby, reconfiguring the Beale Street space as an open air lobby rather than an enclosed space, and correspondingly reducing plumbing scope in this area.

Deductive Alternate No. 16: Delete greywater system providing irrigation treated non-potable water to the wetlands area on the Roof Park in lieu of potable water.

Deductive Alternate No. 23: Eliminate MEP systems serving the security guard booth at the top of the vehicle/bike ramp to the Lower Concourse level. This booth will be deferred until Phase 2 when the train box is built out.

The TG10.2 package also included an Optional Deductive Credit. If the bidder elects to bid both the TG10.2 and TG10.3 packages and is awarded both contracts as the responsible bidder submitting the lowest responsive bid for each package, the bidder has the option to provide credits to the TJPA to be incorporated into its total TG10.2 and TG10.3 bid prices.

There were no additive alternates.

On August 20, 2014, five bids were received, opened and evaluated. Below is a summary of the bid results:

Bidder Name	ACCO Engineered Systems, Inc.	Airco Mechanical, Inc.	Desert Mechanical, Inc.	F.W. Spencer & Sons, Inc.	Pan-Pacific Mechanical
Base Bid Amount	\$25,343,000	\$23,223,000	\$16,473,835	\$23,689,916	\$22,976,000
Deductive Alternate No. 4: Delete Beale Street Lobby	\$(0)	\$(0)	\$(5,200)	\$(0)	\$(0)
<b>Deductive Alternate No. 16:</b> Delete Greywater System for Rooftop Park Wetlands	\$(340,000)	\$(127,000)	\$(80,000)	\$(227,275)	\$(252,500)
Deductive Alternate No. 23: Eliminate MEP System Serving Security Guard Booth	\$(3,200)	\$(3,300)	\$(1,000)	\$(1,300)	\$(3,000)
Accepted Value Engineering Proposals			\$(327,000)		
Optional Deductive Credit*	\$(400,000)	\$(175,000)	\$(284,647)*	\$(748,000)	\$(0)
Base Bid Less Alternates, VE, and Credit	\$24,999,800	\$23,092,700	\$15,775,988	\$23,461,341	\$22,970,000 <sup>1</sup>

\*Note: Optional Deductive Credit (**bold**) only applies to bidder submitting lowest responsive bid on both TG10.2 and TG10.3. Desert Mechanical submitted the lowest responsive bid on TG10.2 and TG10.3 and therefore the Optional Deductive Credit applies.

Desert Mechanical submitted the lowest responsive bid, based on the total bid amount for the bid selection. The recommended award amount is \$15,782,188, which includes the sum of the base bid (\$16,473,835), Deductive Alternate No. 16 (-\$80,000), the accepted VE proposals (-\$327,000), and the Optional Deductive Credit (-\$284,647). Deductive Alternate Nos. 4 and 23 were not accepted in order to allow the TJPA to preserve the option of enclosing the Beale Street Lobby and constructing the security guard booth located at the top of the vehicle/bike ramp to the Lower Concourse level in a future trade package.

Desert Mechanical's bid included 14.8% Small Business Enterprise (SBE) participation, exceeding the 12% SBE goal on the package. Desert Mechanical's bid includes four SBE firms.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sum of individual line items does not add up to lump sum bid price provided by Bidder, nor does lump sum bid price or sum of bid items match written words for the total bid price; however, per the instructions to Bidders: in case of discrepancy between the sum of Bid item amounts and the Total Base Bid Price, the sum of said amounts shall prevail. In case of discrepancy between words and figures, the words shall prevail.

TJPA's budget for TG10.2 is \$15,686,018 (which includes \$118,742 in design contingency and \$1,326,334 in escalation), and the CM/GC's estimate is \$24,330,274. Consistent with the construction cost update mitigation plan presented to the Board at the May 9, 2014, Board meeting, the \$96,170 balance between the awarded amount and the current TG10.2 budget will be funded using Program Reserve. The current available Program Reserve funding is \$43,031,668. After the award of TG10.2, the remaining balance in the Program Reserve will be \$42,935,498.

Airco Mechanical, Inc. (Airco), the third lowest bidder for TG 10.2, submitted a bid protest to: (1) Desert Mechanical's TG10.2 bid on August 26, 2014, with an update submitted on August 27, 2014; and (2) the second lowest bidder Pan Pacific Plumbing, Inc.'s (Pan Pacific) TG10.2 bid on August 26, 2014, with an update submitted on August 27, 2014. Consistent with the TJPA's Board Policy No. 2, Protest Procedures for the Bidding and Award of Federally Assisted Third Party Contracts, the Federal Transit Administration was notified of Airco's bid protests on August 27, 2014 and of Airco's updated bid protests on August 28, 2014. The TJPA also forwarded Airco's protest and updated protest to Desert Mechanical and Pan Pacific and requested a written response from both bidders. Both Desert Mechanical and Pan Pacific responded in writing to their respective protests on September 4, 2014. Both responses disagreed with and specifically addressed each issue raised by Airco in its bid protests. Desert Mechanical and Pan Pacific's responses will be incorporated, to the extent necessary, in the TJPA's written response to Airco's protests.

With respect to Airco's protest against Desert Mechanical, Airco contends that: (1) Desert Mechanical's bid price was "irresponsible in relation to the current industry market"; (2) Desert Mechanical did not provide proof or documentation that it "participated in a good faith effort to meet the required 12% [SBE] goal"; and (3) Desert Mechanical will not be available until March 2015 to commence work. No other basis for the protest was provided by Airco. Counsel for the TJPA has reviewed Airco's bid protest, and based on the evidence currently available, finds it to be without merit for the following reasons:

- (1) The CM/GC confirmed Desert Mechanical's price with Desert Mechanical after bid opening. Furthermore, Desert Mechanical stated in its September 4, 2014 response that, if awarded Trade Package TG10.2, it will execute a trade subcontract for the price stated in its bid. The fact that Airco does not like Desert Mechanical's price is insufficient to form a valid basis for a protest. Furthermore, the there is no provision within the solicitation upon which to reject a bid for being "irresponsible" in relation to "the current industry market."
- (2) Desert Mechanical's SBE participation for TG10.2 is 14.8%, exceeding the 12% SBE goal on the package. Because the SBE goal was exceeded by Desert Mechanical, the completion of the SBE Participation Good Faith Efforts Form and submission of supporting documentation was unnecessary by Desert Mechanical and had no bearing on the determination of "responsiveness" with respect to Desert Mechanical's bid.

(3) Desert Mechanical's bid states that its project team is available "by approximately March 2014", not March 2015.

With respect to Airco's protest against Pan Pacific, Airco's bid protest is rendered moot by the fact that the TJPA has determined Desert Mechanical to be the responsible bidder submitting the lowest responsive bid.

In advance of this Board meeting, a written response was sent to Airco formally denying its bid protests.

The initial notice to proceed for this Trade Work Subcontract is anticipated to be funded by Proposition K funds.

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

TJPA staff recommends that the TJPA Board authorize amending Contract No. 08-04-CMGC-000, authorizing the CM/GC to execute a Trade Work Subcontract with Desert Mechanical, Inc. as the responsible bidder submitting the lowest responsive bid in the amount of \$15,782,188 for TG10.2: Plumbing, thereby increasing the authorized Direct Costs by \$15,782,188 and increasing the authorized Construction Services Fixed Fee by \$1,199,446, under and in accordance with Contract No. 08-04-CMGC-000, Section 00 05 20, ¶5.01B.

# **ENCLOSURES:**

- 1. Resolution
- 2. Contract Modification

# TRANSBAY JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Resolution	No.	

WHEREAS, On March 12, 2009, the Transbay Joint Powers Authority (TJPA) awarded a contract to Webcor/Obayashi Joint Venture as Construction Manager/General Contractor (the CM/GC) for the Transbay Transit Center Building and Related Structures (Contract No. 08-04-CMGC-000 or the Contract). Under the Contract, the CM/GC must competitively procure Trade Subcontractors. The Contract provides for the incorporation of Trade Packages by amendment to (a) authorize award of a Trade Work Subcontract; (b) authorize the associated increase in allowable Direct Costs under the Contract; and (c) authorize the CM/GC to charge a Construction Services Fixed Fee invoiced at a rate of 7.6% of Direct Costs (Contract, Section 00 05 20, ¶5.01); and

WHEREAS, On January 30, 2014, the CM/GC issued an Invitation for Bids for TG10.2: Plumbing (TG10.2) for the entire Transbay Transit Center Program to construct the plumbing systems in accordance with the Contract Documents; and

WHEREAS, Under TG10.2, the Trade Subcontractor will perform all plumbing systems construction-related activities for the entire Transbay Transit Center Program; and

WHEREAS, On August 20, 2014, five bids were received and opened publicly; and

WHEREAS, CM/GC and TJPA have determined that Desert Mechanical, Inc., is the responsible bidder submitting the lowest responsive bid, with a bid of \$15,782,188, including the accepted VE proposals (-\$327,000), Deductive Alternate No. 16 (-\$80,000), and the Optional Deductive Credit (-\$284,647); and

WHEREAS, Funds for this Trade Work Subcontract are available from Proposition K funds and land sales; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the TJPA Board of Directors authorizes the CM/GC to execute a Trade Work Subcontract for TG10.2 with Desert Mechanical, Inc., as the responsible bidder submitting the lowest responsive bid in the contract amount of \$15,782,188; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That upon award of the Trade Work Subcontract to Desert Mechanical, Inc., the Trade Work Subcontract shall be deemed part of the Contract Documents under Contract No. 08-04-CMGC-000; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That Contract No. 08-04-CMGC-000 is hereby modified to incorporate the authorized amount of Trade Work Subcontract awarded to Desert Mechanical, Inc., for TG10.2 by increasing authorized Direct Costs by \$15,782,188, and increasing the authorized Construction Services Fixed Fee by \$1,199,446 (Direct Costs of \$15,782,188 multiplied by the Construction Services Fee of 7.6%) under and in accordance with Contract No. 08-04-CMGC-000, Section 00 05 20, ¶5.01B.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Transbay Joint Powers Authority Board of Directors at its meeting of September 11, 2014.

Secretary,	Transbay	Joint	<b>Powers</b>	Authority

### **AGREEMENT**

# **AMENDMENT NO. 37**

#### **BETWEEN**

## TRANSBAY JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY

#### AND

#### WEBCOR/OBAYASHI JOINT VENTURE

THIS AMENDMENT for AGREEMENT is entered into as of theday of
, 2014, by and between the TRANSBAY JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY (TJPA) and
Webcor/Obayashi Joint Venture (the CM/GC). By this Amendment No. 37, TJPA and the
CM/GC hereby modify the Agreement dated March 17, 2009 (Agreement), only to the extent
expressly provided in this Amendment No. 37. All other terms and conditions of the Agreement
shall remain in full force and effect.

### Recitals

- **A.** On March 12, 2009, the TJPA Board awarded the Agreement to the CM/GC to perform pre-construction and construction services for the Transbay Transit Center Building and Related Structures Project (Project).
- **B.** As detailed in the Agreement, the CM/GC is required to procure construction services through the award of Trade Packages, at which time TJPA shall adjust the value of the Contract Sum to reflect the amount of the awarded Trade Work Subcontract plus the proportionate CM/GC's Construction Services Fixed Fee in accordance with Article 5.01 of the Agreement.
- C. The CM/GC issued an Invitation for Bids for TG10.2 Plumbing on January 30, 2014, and received and publicly opened five bids on August 20, 2014.
- **D.** Desert Mechanical, Inc. was the responsible bidder submitting the lowest responsive bid in the amount of \$15,782,188, which consists of a Base Bid of \$16,473,835, the accepted VE proposal (-\$327,000), Deductive Alternate No. 16 (-\$80,000), and the Optional Deductive Credit (-\$284,647). Deductive Alternate No. 4 for -\$5,200 and Deductive Alternate No. 23 for -\$1,000 were not accepted and are not part of the current award.

# **Terms and Conditions**

- 1. By this Amendment, TJPA authorizes an increase in the CM/GC scope of work and the value of the Contract Sum by \$16,981,634 (Trade Package Direct Costs of \$15,782,188 and CM/GC Fixed Fee, calculated at 7.6 percent of Direct Costs, of \$1,199,446) and the award of Trade Subcontract No. TG10.2 to Desert Mechanical, Inc. for performance of the construction of TG10.2 Plumbing.
- **2.** The detailed scope of work and schedule incorporated by this Amendment is provided in the Contract Documents dated September 23, 2013, and all associated Addenda.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this contract Amendment No. 37 on the day first mentioned above.

# TRANSBAY JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY

Maria Ayerdi-Kaplan Executive Director
Transbay Joint Powers Authority Board of Directors Resolution No.
Adopted:
Attest:
Secretary, TJPA Board
Approved as to Form:
By: TJPA Legal Counsel
WEBCOR/OBAYASHI, JOINT VENTURE
Authorized Signature
Printed Name
Title